## Oxidation of primary alcohols to methyl esters by hydrogen transfer<sup>†</sup>

Nathan A. Owston,<sup>a</sup> Alexandra J. Parker<sup>b</sup> and Jonathan M. J. Williams<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 5th November 2007, Accepted 26th November 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 6th December 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b717073d

The oxidation of alcohols in the presence of methanol has been achieved using a ruthenium catalyst with crotononitrile as the hydrogen acceptor.

The oxidative dimerisation of primary alcohols to give esters has been reported using hydrogen transfer reactions<sup>1</sup> and with acceptorless dehydrogenation.2 In this chemistry, an alcohol is initially oxidised to an aldehyde which reacts reversibly with more alcohol to form a hemiacetal and further oxidation leads to the formation of the ester. Related to this process is the conversion of diols into lactones.<sup>3</sup> The metal-catalysed Tishchenko dimerisation reaction of aldehydes to give esters is also well-known.<sup>4</sup>

However, the reaction of two alcohols to give an ester by oxidative coupling is more problematic due to the potential formation of a mixture of products. The conversion of activated alcohols, such as cinnamyl alcohol, into methyl esters has been reported using manganese dioxide with cyanide,<sup>5,6</sup> which is capable of oxidising the cinnamyl alcohol and intermediate cyanohydrin, but not methanol. A similar strategy has been employed using iodosobenzene as the oxidant.7

We were interested in the possibility of using metal catalysed hydrogen transfer processes to effect the oxidation of alcohols to methyl esters according to Scheme 1. Methanol is harder to oxidise than most primary alcohols due to the high oxidation potential of methanal,<sup>8</sup> and by using methanol as solvent, we hoped to be able to form methyl esters selectively. We chose to use an alkene as the hydrogen acceptor, although the use of a ketone as the hydrogen acceptor or acceptorless dehydrogenation are also potentially viable approaches. We have recently reported the use of  $Ru(PPh_3)_{3}(CO)H_2$  with the bidentate ligand Xantphos for



<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, UK BA2 7AY. E-mail: j.m.j.williams@bath.ac.uk; Fax: +44 1225 386231; Tel: +44 1225 383942

{ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data. See DOI: 10.1039/b717073d

processes which involve the transfer of hydrogen from an alcohol to an alkene $9$  or alkyne.<sup>10</sup>

In preliminary experiments, we wished to identify a suitable alkene as the hydrogen acceptor, and chose to examine the oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde using 2.5 mol%  $Ru(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CO)H<sub>2</sub>$  with 2.5 mol% Xantphos<sup>11</sup> as shown in Scheme 2.  $\alpha$ , B-Unsaturated nitriles were found to be effective for this transformation, while simple alkenes and alkynes including 1-hexene, styrene, trimethylvinylsilane, methyl acrylate, 1-hexyne and phenylacetylene all gave less than 5% conversion under these conditions. Whilst the more electron deficient alkenes 4 and 5 gave a greater conversion within 2 h (95% and 67% respectively), crotononitrile 3 was chosen as the most suitable alkene due to the volatility of this alkene and its reduced product.

Using methanol as a cosolvent led to the formation of methyl esters from a range of alcohols (Scheme 3, Table 1). After some optimisation, it was found that allowing complexation between 5 mol%  $Ru(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CO)H<sub>2</sub>$  and 5 mol% Xantphos for 1 h, followed by 24 h at 110 °C in a methanol–toluene (1 : 1) solution in the presence of 3 equivalents of crotononitrile 3 was suitable for the oxidation of most alcohols. The addition of a small amount of water was also found to be beneficial.

The majority of alcohols underwent clean transformation into the corresponding esters with essentially complete conversion, and were isolated in good yields. There were three alcohols where incomplete conversion was observed under these conditions; these were the sterically most hindered substrates: benzyl alcohol, p-nitrobenzyl alcohol and cyclohexylmethanol. Benzylic alcohols would normally be expected to undergo oxidation more readily



Scheme 1 Oxidation of primary alcohols to methyl esters. Scheme 2 Alkenes as hydrogen acceptors for alcohol oxidation.



Scheme 3 Reaction conditions for methyl ester formation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>AstraZeneca, Global Process R&D, Avlon Works, Severn Road, Hallen, Bristol, UK BS10 7ZE.

E-mail: alexandra.parker@astrazeneca.com

Table 1 Conversion of alcohols into methyl esters

Alcohol	Methyl ester	Yield $(\%)^a$
ΟН Ph <sup>-</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> Me Ph <sup>-</sup>	$83^b$
OН Ar $Ar = 3$ -indenyl	CO <sub>2</sub> Me Ar' $Ar = 3$ -indenyl	79
ΟН $p$ -HO(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>-</sup>	$p$ -HO(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) CO <sub>2</sub> Me	84
ОН $p$ -Me <sub>2</sub> N(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>*</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> Me $p$ -Me <sub>2</sub> N(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	87
Ph <sup>2</sup> ЮH	$Ph$ – $CO2Me$	$(70)^c$
$p$ -O <sub>2</sub> N(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) ЮH	$p$ -O <sub>2</sub> N(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ) – CO <sub>2</sub> Me	74
$Ph_{\sim}$ OH.	$Ph_{\sim}$ CO <sub>2</sub> Me	76
$C_7H_{15}$ ЮH	$C_7H_{15}$ - $CO_2$ Me	86
OH $C_{15}H_{31}$	$C_{15}H_{31}$ - $CO_2$ Me	$84^d$
OН	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$(76)^c$
$\frac{1}{2}$ OН	i CO <sub>2</sub> Me	$(>95)^c$

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield after purification by column chromatography, except where indicated.  $\frac{b}{a}$  48 h.  $\frac{c}{b}$  Conversion.  $\frac{d}{b}$  36 h.

than aliphatic alcohols, and we wondered whether a disfavourable hemiacetal formation from the intermediate aldehyde was responsible for the lower conversion. However, both unreacted benzyl alcohol and benzaldehyde ( $\sim$ 1 : 1) were present in the crude product, suggesting that both initial oxidation and hemiacetal formation were disfavoured relative to the aliphatic examples. In the cases of p-nitrobenzyl alcohol and cyclohexylmethanol, conversions of 84% and 76% were observed, with no intermediate aldehyde detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude product.

We were pleased to note that the alkene-containing alcohols cinnamyl alcohol and citronellol underwent oxidation without reduction or isomerisation of the alkene.



Scheme 4 Oxidation of octanal to the methyl ester.

In all cases, small quantities of the product arising from conjugate addition of methanol to crotononitrile, MeCH(OMe) CH<sub>2</sub>CN, were observed. This byproduct was readily removed by column chromatography or by evaporation under high vacuum.

The reaction was also applied to the conversion of octanal into its methyl ester (Scheme 4). Murahashi has previously reported the reaction of octanal with methanol in the presence of mesityl oxide as the hydrogen acceptor, which gave 66% methyl octanoate after 4 days at 140 °C using 10 mol%  $Ru(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>1</sup>

In summary, primary alcohols have been oxidised to the corresponding methyl esters in good isolated yields using a ruthenium catalysed hydrogen transfer process.

We thank the EPSRC and AstraZeneca for funding a studentship (to N.A.O.).

## Notes and references

- 1 S.-I. Murahashi, T. Naota, K. Ito, Y. Maeda and H. Taki, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 4319.
- 2 J. Zhang, G. Leitus, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10840. An extension of this work to the formation of amides from alcohols and amines has also recently been reported: C. Gunanathan, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, Science, 2007, 317, 790.
- 3 J. Zhao and J. F. Hartwig, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 2441; Y. R. Lin, X. C. Zhu and Y. F. Zhou, J. Organomet. Chem., 1992, 429, 269; T. Suzuki, K. Morita, M. Tsuchida and K. Hiroi, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 2361; H. C. Maytum, B. Tavassoli and J. M. J. Williams, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 4387.
- 4 For representative examples, see: T. Suzuki, T. Yamada, T. Matsuo, K. Watanabe and T. Kadoh, Synlett, 2005, 1450; T. Suzuki, T. Yamada, K. Watanabe and T. Katoh, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2005, 15, 2583; T. Ooi, K. Ohmatsu, K. Sasaki, T. Miura and K. Maruoka, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 3191.
- 5 J. S. Foot, H. Kanno, G. M. P. Giblin and R. J. K. Taylor, Synthesis, 2003, 1055.
- 6 E. J. Corey, N. W. Gilman and B. E. Ganem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5616.
- 7 H. Tohma, T. Maegawa and Y. Kita, Synlett, 2003, 723 and references therein.
- 8 H. Adkins, R. M. Elofson, A. G. Rossow and C. C. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 3622.
- 9 P. A. Slatford, M. K. Whittlesey and J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 6787.
- 10 S. J. Pridmore, P. A. Slatford and J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 5111; S. J. Pridmore, P. A. Slatford, A. Daniel, M. K. Whittlesey and J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 5115.
- 11 Z. Freixa and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, Dalton Trans., 2003, 1890.